EXHIBIT 11

Plaintiffs are filing excerpted portions of this voluminous document. Counsel for Plaintiffs will provide the entire document upon request.



Transcript of Daniel Gohn

Date: July 27, 2020

Case: Ghaisar, et al. -v- The United States

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email:: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

```
1
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
            FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
3
4
    JAMES GHAISAR, et al., :
5
              Plaintiffs, :
6
                            : Civil Action No.
       V.
7
    UNITED STATES, : 1:19-cv-1224 (CMH/IDD)
8
              Defendant. :
9
10
                 Deposition of DANIEL GOHN
11
12
                    Conducted Virtually
13
                    Monday, July 27, 2020
14
                         10:03 a.m.
15
16
17
18
19
20
     Job No.: 311164
21
     Pages: 1 - 96
     Reported By: Sandra A. Slater, CSR
22
```

21

1 begins, "Gohn recalled seeing the emergency lights 2 come southbound down the GWP." And the emergency 3 lights that you recall seeing then was the United 4 States Park Police? 5 Α Correct. 6 Okay. "Gohn recalled hearing over the 0 7 radio at one point that the pursuit involved a 8 four-door Jeep that was dark in color." Do you 9 recall telling that to the FBI? 10 Α Yes, I do. 11 And is that accurate? 12 It is. Α 13 Q Does that comport with your memory now? 14 Α Yes, it does. 15 Okay. "Gohn waited for the pursuit to 0 16 pass and saw two vehicles, specifically a dark 17 vehicle in front of a single USPP vehicle, which was a white Ford Explorer. Gohn was unable to how 18 19 many people were in either vehicle, but noted it 20 was not a high-speed pursuit." Does that comport 21 with your memory that this was not a high speed 22 pursuit?

1	7 Vog it door
1	A Yes, it does.
2	Q "Gohn advised that the Jeep was not
3	driving in a matter that caused alarm. It was
4	just" "it was" "it just was not stopping for
5	the US Park Police." Is that accurate?
6	A It is.
7	Q When you observed this initial chase, was
8	the Jeep driving in a way that caused you any
9	alarm?
10	A No.
11	Q It wasn't driving erratically?
12	A It wasn't driving erratically, it just
13	wasn't stopping.
14	Q And it wasn't endangering anyone else on
15	the roadways, was it?
16	A I don't think it was, no.
17	Q This was not a high-speed pursuit, was it?
18	A They were probably going a little over the
19	speed limit, but it wasn't a high-speed pursuit,
20	no.
21	Q I ask you to turn to the next page, if you
22	don't mind. The first sentence, the third

24

1 accurate? 2 Yes, it is. Α 3 Okay. So was it your understanding that 4 they had been in a -- themselves in a pursuit with 5 this Jeep for approximately a minute before you 6 joined them? 7 I don't know how long they were in pursuit 8 before they got on the radio and I was 9 (indiscernible). 10 Okay. So the next paragraph, the first 11 sentence, "When the pursuit passed Gohn, his first 12 thought there was no speed involved and he 13 wondered why the Jeep was not stopping." Can you 14 explain that to us? 15 In my experience, it's not uncommon -it's not a common thing, but it's not uncommon 16 17 whenever you turn your lights and siren on, 18 various people don't stop for various reasons. cover that further down. They're not speeding 19 20 It's possible they don't know there's an 21 officer behind them. It's also possible they're 22 afraid to stop where they're at for a safe

1	position. They might be under medical emergency,
2	there's other possibilities of why they don't
3	stop. Not knowing why they weren't stopping and
4	speed wasn't involved, that's why I made that
5	statement.
6	Q All right. And actually, you specify in
7	the next sentence when you say specifically Gohn
8	considered whether the driver of the Jeep was an
9	elderly person unaware that they were being
10	stopped, a diabetic person having a medical
11	emergency or a scared person who did not want to
12	stop because the GWP was not lighted. Do you see
13	that?
14	A Yes, I do.
15	Q Were those thoughts that were going
16	through your mind in realtime?
17	A Yes, they were.
18	Q So Jeep so from here on out, as I read
19	through this 302, when I say USPP, I may say just
20	the Park Police rather than saying the initials
21	each time it's written down here.
22	A Okay.

37

1 the head of the driver of the Jeep? 2 Again, please. Α 3 From what you observed, did you see any 4 justification for these officers training their 5 weapons at the head of the driver of this Jeep? 6 I'm 50/50 on that point. Meaning, if they 7 are going up to the vehicle and not knowing what's 8 inside, they have to be prepared for whatever and 9 they chose to go up to the vehicle. So, you know, 10 should have they or shouldn't they, that's a 11 judgement call an individual officer would have to 12 make. 13 Would they have been justified in shooting 14 them right then during that first stop? 15 From my position of not being able to see into the vehicle, I have no idea. I can't make 16 17 that judgment. 18 Would you have, in light of your training, 19 would you have, during this traffic stop, the 20 first stop, exited your vehicle and run up to the 21 driver's side?

No, I wouldn't have.

38

1 O Why not? 2 For safety reasons. Not knowing what's 3 in -- all I know at this point is there was a 4 I have no idea how many people are in it vehicle. 5 or why the person was not stopping for the police. 6 For my safety, I wouldn't go up to the vehicle. 7 would have then called the driver back to me. 8 Turning again to that paragraph on Okay. 9 page 6. "When the USPP officers ran up to the 10 Jeep, they pounded on the windows while saying get 11 This surprised Gohn because typically 12 officers do not run up to the vehicle because they 13 don't know what's inside." Is that an accurate 14 depiction of what you told the FBI? 15 Yes, it is. Α 16 And it is, in fact, the truth? 17 That's what I told them. That's what I Α 18 said to them. 19 "Gohn explained in those situations time 20 was on the side of law enforcement and tactically 21 it was preferable to have the occupants of the

vehicle come to law enforcement rather than the

1	A Yes, I do.
2	Q Okay. So on, you know, three or four
3	weeks after this incident, your observation or
4	memory was that they hadn't used their weapons,
5	the US Park Police officer had not used his weapon
6	to bang on the window, correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q You have soon the videotape now, correct?
9	A I have.
10	Q And, in fact, you see one of the US Park
11	Police officers use his weapon to bang on the
12	window of the Jeep, correct?
13	A Correct.
14	Q Okay. And you said, in reference to
15	questions from the FBI, "Gohn stated that he would
16	have remembered if they used their guns to bang on
17	the window because 'you don't do that'." What
18	were you conveying to the FBI when you say "you
19	just don't do that"?
20	A Exactly that, that you don't use your
21	begun as a hammer.
22	Q I don't mean to be obvious, but why not?

Transcript of Daniel Gohn Conducted on July 27, 2020

A You can have an accidental discharge, you
can disable your gun. The gun can be knocked out
of your hand. The gun is not a hammer.
Q Okay. Could it put the driver in danger?
A I guess if you used the barrel end of the
gun to hammer on the window.
Q Yeah. Do you understand where a person
who might have been involved in a minor traffic
accident upon seeing someone run up to the side of
his Jeep with a gun pointed at his head would have
been frightened by these officers?
MS. KIMBALL: Objection. Speculation.
Q So I couldn't hear your answer, sir.
A That is a possibility.
Q Let's go to page 7, the first paragraph
says, "Prior to stopping on the GWP, the Jeep was
driving straight down the road and Gohn observed
nothing unusual about the way it was driving."
That's accurate, correct?
A It is.
Q Okay. And then it says, "Approximately 5
to 10 seconds after the Jeep stopped, it took off

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Transcript of Daniel Gohn Conducted on July 27, 2020

44

"When the Jeep drove away, Gohn decided instantaneously to take the lead in the pursuit. Gohn estimated the Jeep to have been approximately a hundred yards in front of him as they continued on the GWP. And Gohn recalled that he did not have to accelerate in a 'pedal to the metal manner to catch up'." What did you -- when you expressed this when you told the FBI, what did you mean? What are you trying to convey? I was trying to convey if the Jeep was going at a high rate of speed, I would have to obviously accelerate very quickly to catch up with them, which I didn't have to do. So what I was conveying was the Jeep was not driving at a high rate of speed to catch up to him. And if we go to the next sentence, it says, "As Gohn continued with the pursuit on the GWP his impression, based upon the lack of high speed, was the Jeep was not stopping but not trying to get away either." Is that accurate? Α It is. And is that what you felt in realtime?

1	D. Wale
1	A Yes.
2	Q "Gohn did not observe the Jeep driving in
3	an erratic manner." Do you see that?
4	A Yes, I do.
5	Q So up to this point, you have not observed
6	this Jeep doing anything that would cause you to
7	believe it was driving erratically, correct?
8	A Other than going slightly over the speed
9	limit, that was it.
10	Q Okay. And he was the driver of the
11	Jeep wasn't endangering anyone else on the road
12	during this time?
13	A Not in my opinion, no.
14	Q Was the driver of the Jeep going
15	driving at a speed that was kind of common to what
16	people drive on, on the GW Parkway?
17	A I thought so. You know, a little bit over
18	the speed limit.
19	Q But it's pretty common on that stretch of
20	the GW Parkway that most people drive a little bit
21	over the speed limit; is that right?
22	A Yes, it is.

1	with the second full paragraph, it says, "The Jeep
2	stopped at the stop sign at the intersection of
3	Alexandria Avenue and Fort Hunt Road, and the US
4	Park Police vehicle went around the Jeep to block
5	it in." Do you recall that?
6	A I do.
7	Q Okay. And in realtime, were you thinking
8	that that was the purpose of what the US Park
9	Police officers were doing, that they were parking
10	their vehicle in a way to block in the driver of
11	the Jeep?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Where were you positioned at this time?
14	A I was directly behind the Jeep.
15	Q Okay. And the blocking position that the
16	US Park Police officers parked their car, would it
17	have been effective in blocking in the Jeep?
18	A With a little bit of maneuvering, the Jeep
19	could have gotten around the Park Police vehicle.
20	Q To the left or to the right?
21	A The left only.
22	Q And why not to the right?

1	A Because to the right there was a deep
2	ditch that the Jeep would have had to drive into.
3	I felt the ditch was too deep, the Jeep wouldn't
4	be able to get back into that and back out of it.
5	Q Can you describe, just in your
6	approximation, the depth of that ditch?
7	A I would guess 4 to 5 feet.
8	Q Do you recall that once they parked in
9	front of the Jeep, that the US Park Police
10	officers, both of them got out of the vehicle?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And did they do so relatively
13	simultaneously?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. Did both of them have their weapons
16	drawn?
17	A From watching the videotape, they did. I
18	know one did. I'm not sure about the other. I'd
19	have to see the tape in detail.
20	Q And were those weapons drawn and pointed
21	in the face of the driver?
22	A Again, I would have to review the

71

1	A That would be my estimate.
2	Q And so what you're conveying here is that
3	when the Jeep stopped at the stop sign, if you
4	were to measure somewhere from the front of his
5	Jeep to the distance of the US Park Police car
6	where it parked, somewhere approximately 10 to 12
7	feet away?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. The next sentence, "Gohn again
10	stated he saw no forward moment of the Jeep before
11	shots were fired." Is that correct?
12	A It is.
13	Q "And then Gohn's impression was after the
14	shooting was over, the driver's foot came off the
15	brake, and because of the slight downgrade, the
16	Jeep rolled into the ditch." Is that accurate?
17	A That was my recollection during this
18	interview.
19	Q And do you have a better recollection as
20	we sit here today?
21	A After watching the videotape, there was

shooting and then the Jeep started to roll and

72

1 then there were shots fired after the Jeep started 2 to roll again. 3 Q And this was, what, after -- your 4 impression was after the driver of the Jeep had 5 been stopped, his foot came off the brake? 6 Α Yes. 7 And then he was shot again? 0 8 After watching the videotape, yes. 9 Let's go to the last sentence Okay. Q 10 here -- or excuse me, the last paragraph of page 11 14. "Gohn stated that when the Jeep stopped at 12 the stop sign at Alexandria Avenue, he would have 13 let the Jeep do what it was doing" -- "what it was 14 going to do because he knew he had more resources 15 coming." Is that accurate? 16 Yes, it is. Α 17 "Specifically, Gohn explained additional FCPD officers were en route to the area and would 18 19 have had the ability to employ stop sticks, block 20 roads and get the Jeep off a two-lane street." Is 21 that accurate? As I'm paraphrasing what I said, yes. 22

73

1 0 Okay. Page 15. It conveys you in the 2 first paragraph as advising the FBI that he would 3 not have gotten out in front of the Jeep, and we 4 are talking about the time of the third stop, 5 because he knew he had other means available to 6 him that were safer. Is that accurate? 7 Yes, it is. Α 8 "Gohn observed no imminent threat to the 9 Jeep, indicating that the threat needed to be eliminated then and there." Is that accurate? 10 11 Α It is. 12 "Gohn's perspective was he was working 13 until 1 a.m. and could have followed the Jeep 14 around all night," correct? 15 That's correct. Α 16 Officer Gohn, isn't it also true that if 17 this Jeep had gotten away, if you had pursued --18 terminated pursuit, there were other investigative 19 avenues to determine whether Bijan Ghaisar 20 committed any acts in that hit-and-run accident that would cause him liability? 21 22 MS. KIMBALL: Objection. Calls for

1	Q And would there be updated training on use
2	of force policy?
3	A Yes, there would be.
4	Q Okay. And does the US does the Fairfax
5	County Police Department train in methodology in
6	terms of deescalation of force?
7	A Yes, we do.
8	Q Okay. And would you describe to us, who
9	are not in the police profession, what that means.
10	A That means that whatever situation you're
11	dealing with, try to deescalate to the point where
12	you can get the person you're involved with to do
13	what you want to by (indiscernible) force
14	possible. Or is necessary, would be a better
15	term.
16	Q Regarding the US Park Police officers'
17	actions that night, did you see any evidence of
18	them deescalating the situation in any way?
19	A Not that I'm aware of, no.
20	Q Okay. If it had been just you and Fairfax
21	County police engaging in the chase of Bijan
22	Ghaisar that evening, you would have come